

Anonymous

SECTION 1

Do you consider the main biodiversity threats identified in the Consultation Draft (see below) to be the most important in reversing the decline in Australia's biodiversity?

Climate change:

Strongly Agree

Invasive species:

Somewhat Agree

Loss and fragmentation of habitats:

Strongly Agree

Degradation of habitats:

Strongly Agree

Unstable use of natural resources:

Strongly Agree

Changes to the aquatic environment and water flows:

Somewhat Agree

Inappropriate fire regimes:

Somewhat Agree

SECTION 9

Does the Consultation Draft reflect the best practice on biodiversity conservation?

There is not enough detail, and there is no information about the international UN agreements to which Australia is NOT a signatory to. This would be useful to gain an unbiased understanding of what we as Australians are NOT doing. For example, there is no mention of the International Agreement to protect the free movement of Wild Animals. There is also no mention of protecting biodiversity values through putting an embargo or ban on unsustainable logging products sourced from natural rainforests (a prime cause of biodiversity losses in other countries). The Australian government needs to make changes in its legislative strategies to protect not only Australian biodiversity but think globally as well through the taxes imposed on consumers and importers of products that cause biodiversity losses.

SECTION 10

Will the Consultation Draft effectively engage private sector interests in long-term biodiversity conservation?

Not enough specific involvement demands are made in these priorities for sustainable forest and wood technologies and industries that prevent biodiversity losses through new technological advancements. Perhaps this is covered by some other strategy on research and innovation?