

A preliminary ecological
risk assessment of the
impact of tropical fire ants
(*Solenopsis geminata*) on
colonies of seabirds at
Ashmore Reef



Bellio MG, Bayliss P, Williams AJ,
van Dam R, Fox GJ & Moulden JH



Australian Government

**Department of the Environment and Water Resources
Supervising Scientist**

It is SSD policy for reports in the SSR series to be reviewed as part of the publications process. This Supervising Scientist Report has been formally refereed by two external independent experts.

Maria Grazia Bellio – Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist & National Centre for Tropical Wetland Research, Darwin NT (current address: International Water Management Institute, PO Box 2075, Colombo, Sri Lanka)

Dr Peter Bayliss – Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist, GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801, Australia

Angus J Williams – Australian Quarantine and Inspection Service (AQIS), PO Box 350, Broome WA 6725, Australia

Dr Rick van Dam – Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist, GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801, Australia

Gary J Fox – Environmental Research Institute of the Supervising Scientist, GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801, Australia

JH Moulden – Western Australia Department of Agriculture, PO Box 19, Kununurra WA 6743, Australia

This report should be cited as follows:

Bellio MG, Bayliss P, Williams AJ, van Dam R, Fox GJ & Moulden JH 2007. *A preliminary ecological risk assessment of the impact of tropical fire ants (Solenopsis geminata) on colonies of seabirds at Ashmore Reef*. Supervising Scientist Report 190, Supervising Scientist, Darwin NT.

The Supervising Scientist is part of the Australian Government Department of the Environment and Water Resources.

© Commonwealth of Australia 2007

Supervising Scientist
Department of the Environment and Water Resources
GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801 Australia

ISSN 1325-1554

ISBN-13: 978-1-921069-00-0

ISBN-10: 1-921069-00-7

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, no part may be reproduced by any process without prior written permission from the Supervising Scientist. Requests and inquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be addressed to Publications Inquiries, *Supervising Scientist*, GPO Box 461, Darwin NT 0801.

e-mail: publications_ssd@environment.gov.au

Internet: www.environment.gov.au/ssd (www.environment.gov.au/ssd/publications)

The views and opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect those of the Commonwealth of Australia. While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the contents of this report are factually correct, some essential data rely on the references cited and the Supervising Scientist and the Commonwealth of Australia do not accept responsibility for the accuracy, currency or completeness of the contents of this report, and shall not be liable for any loss or damage that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of, or reliance on, the report. Readers should exercise their own skill and judgment with respect to their use of the material contained in this report.

Printed and bound in Darwin by uniprint NT

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Project aims | 2 |
| 1.3 Approach | 2 |
| 1.3.1 Risk assessment framework | 2 |
| THE RISK ASSESSMENT | 5 |
| 2 Identification of the problem: information on the stressor and the environment | 6 |
| 2.1 Ashmore Reef – brief overview of habitats, flora, fauna, historical and cultural values | 6 |
| 2.1.1 Geographical location and oceanographic conditions | 6 |
| 2.1.2 Historical and cultural values | 7 |
| 2.1.3 Ecological values – marine ecosystems | 7 |
| 2.1.4 Ecological values – terrestrial ecosystems | 7 |
| 2.2 Tropical fire ant overview of natural history: reproductive strategy, growth development and survival of all life stages (eggs, larvae, adults) | 11 |
| 2.2.1 Description | 12 |
| 2.2.2 Food | 12 |
| 2.2.3 Reproduction and colonies | 12 |
| 2.2.4 Life cycle stages | 12 |
| 2.3 History of tropical fire ant invasion at Ashmore Reef | 13 |
| 3 Effect characterisation: evaluation of data and information on potential impacts | 15 |
| 3.1 Effects of invasive alien species (IAS) | 15 |
| 3.2 Island populations vulnerability and the effect of multiple pressures | 17 |
| 3.3 Potential impact of the tropical fire ant on native species | 18 |
| 3.3.1 Impact on ant communities and other invertebrates | 18 |
| 3.3.2 Impact on birds and reptiles | 19 |
| 3.4 Overview of status and conservation of breeding seabirds species at Ashmore Reef | 19 |
| 3.4.1 Wedge-tailed shearwater (<i>Puffinus pacificus</i>) | 20 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 3.4.2 | Masked booby (<i>Sula dactylatra bedouti</i>) | 20 |
| 3.4.3 | Brown booby (<i>Sula leucogaster</i>) | 21 |
| 3.4.4 | Red-footed booby (<i>Sula sula</i>) | 22 |
| 3.4.5 | Great frigatebird (<i>Fregata minor</i>) | 23 |
| 3.4.6 | Lesser frigatebird (<i>Fregata ariel</i>) | 24 |
| 3.4.7 | White-tailed tropicbird (<i>Phaeton lepturus lepturus</i>) | 25 |
| 3.4.8 | Red-tailed tropicbird (<i>Phaeton rubricauda</i>) | 26 |
| 3.4.9 | Bridled tern (<i>Sterna anaethetus</i>) | 26 |
| 3.4.10 | Crested tern (<i>Sterna bergii</i>) | 27 |
| 3.4.11 | Lesser crested tern (<i>Sterna bengalensis</i>) | 28 |
| 3.4.12 | Roseate tern (<i>Sterna dougallii</i>) | 28 |
| 3.4.13 | Common noddy (<i>Anous stolidus</i>) | 29 |
| 3.4.14 | Black noddy (<i>Anous minutus</i>) | 30 |
| 3.4.15 | Lesser noddy (<i>Anous tenuirostris melanops</i>) | 31 |
| 3.4.16 | Sooty tern (<i>Sterna fuscata</i>) | 31 |
| 3.4.17 | Eastern reef egret (<i>Egretta sacra</i>) | 33 |
| 3.5 | Current status of breeding seabird at Ashmore | 34 |
| 3.5.1 | Methods | 34 |
| 3.5.2 | Results | 37 |
| 4 | Exposure characterisation: identification of the extent of the problem | 44 |
| 4.1 | Tropical fire ant habitat preferences and reasons for preference | 44 |
| 4.2 | Current distribution and density of tropical fire ants on Ashmore Reef | 44 |
| 4.2.1 | Methods | 44 |
| 4.2.2 | Results | 46 |
| 5 | Risk characterisation | 53 |
| 5.1 | Damage – pest density relationships in pest management | 53 |
| 5.2 | Number of dead seabird chicks and the abundance of tropical fire ants | 53 |
| 5.2.1 | Working hypotheses and model assumptions | 53 |
| 5.2.2 | Methods of analysis | 55 |
| 5.2.3 | Results: common noddy on East and Middle islands | 57 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 6 Discussion of risk quantification and recommendation for management of tropical fire ants at Ashmore Reef | 64 |
| 6.1 Results summary | 64 |
| 6.2 Management strategy | 66 |
| 6.3 Eradication | 66 |
| 6.3.1 Failure of treatment: | 66 |
| 6.3.2 Re-infestation | 67 |
| 6.4 Control to a target density | 67 |
| 6.4.1 Ant monitoring methods | 68 |
| 6.4.2 Data and methods to survey seabird nesting recruitment for future monitoring | 68 |
| 6.5 No action | 69 |
| 6.6 Conclusions | 69 |
| References | 71 |
| Appendices | 79 |
| Appendix 1 GPS coordinates of location of lesser frigatebird colonies at East Island | 80 |
| Appendix 2 GPS coordinates of location of lesser frigatebird (FB) and crested tern (CT) colonies, red-footed booby (RFB) – brown morph (b) and white morph (w) – and eastern reef egrets nest on Middle Island | 81 |
| Appendix 3 Coordinates of location of eastern reef egret nests on Middle Island | 82 |
| Appendix 4 Counts of seabirds (adults – otherwise specified juvenile) on West, East and Middle Island at the time of the survey in November 2004 | 84 |
| Appendix 5 Opportunistic counts of shorebirds at West, Middle and East Islands, Ashmore Reef, November 2004 | 85 |
| Appendix 6 GPS coordinates of points delimiting the transects along which fresh turtle tracks were counted on West Island on the 17 th of November 2004 | 86 |
| Appendix 7 List of GPS way-point readings (using WGS 84) for each island | 87 |
| Appendix 8 Ant abundance and numbers caught in traps | 88 |
| Appendix 9 Middle Island ant nest distribution around bait stations | 96 |

Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1 Non indigenous terrestrial plants and animals established at Ashmore Reef Islands | 16 |
| Table 2 Some of the ecological and socio-economic factors that can influence the risk of introduction, establishment and spread of IAS in island ecosystems | 17 |
| Table 3 Coordinates of red-tailed tropicbird nests found at West Island on 9 November 2004 | 37 |
| Table 4 Summary of the number of dead common noddy chicks found in the sample grids of East and Middle islands | 55 |
| Table 5 Summary of the multiple regression relationship between the density of all dead common noddy chicks, with the density index of tropical fire ants and percentage vegetation cover, across East and Middle islands | 58 |
| Table 6 MANCOVA of the density of dead common noddy chicks in each age/size class with vegetation cover and tropical fire ant density index and island | 59 |
| Table 7 Summary of multiple regression analyses between the density of dead common noddy chicks with vegetation cover and tropical fire ant density index, for each size class on each island | 60 |
| Table 8 Summary of multiple regression analyses between the density of dead common noddy chicks in vulnerable age/size Classes 1 and 2 combined, with vegetation cover and tropical fire ant density index, separately for both islands and combined, respectively | 61 |
| Table 9 The frequency distribution of dead common noddy chicks by two vulnerability classes and by island, and associated estimates of mortality rate | 63 |
| Table 10 Most likely pathway of invasion of tropical fire ants to Ashmore Reef | 67 |
| Table A8.1 Ant numbers caught in the pitfall traps at West Island | 88 |
| Table A8.2 Ant abundance in the three bait stations at West Island | 89 |
| Table A8.3 Ant numbers caught in the pitfall traps at Middle Island | 91 |
| Table A8.4 Ant abundance in the three bait stations at Middle Island | 92 |
| Table A8.5 Ant numbers caught in the pit traps at East Island | 94 |
| Table A8.6 Ant abundance in the three bait stations at East Island | 95 |

Figures

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Figure 1 | Location of Ashmore Reef | 1 |
| Figure 2 | Wetland risk assessment framework | 3 |
| Figure 3 | Physical feature of Ashmore reef | 6 |
| Figure 4 | Aerial view of West Island | 8 |
| Figure 5 | Red-footed boobies and lesser frigatebirds nesting on vestigial remnants of <i>Argusia argentea</i> , November 2004 | 9 |
| Figure 6 | Sooty terns on Middle Island, November 2004 | 10 |
| Figure 7 | Green turtle tracks at West Island | 11 |
| Figure 8 | Masked booby on nest at Middle Island | 21 |
| Figure 9 | Brown booby adult at East Island | 22 |
| Figure 10 | Red-footed booby on nest at Middle Island, November 2004 | 23 |
| Figure 11 | Lesser frigatebird juvenile at Middle Island | 24 |
| Figure 12 | Crested tern colony breeding at Middle Island | 28 |
| Figure 13 | Common noddy at East Island, November 2004 | 30 |
| Figure 14 | Adult of sooty tern at Middle Island, November 2004 | 32 |
| Figure 15 | Eastern reef egret – dark morph | 33 |
| Figure 16 | Method used to sample the number of dead chicks of the common noddy and brown booby in each grid cell | 36 |
| Figure 17 | Common noddy dead chick Class 1 and Class 2 | 36 |
| Figure 18 | Common noddy dead chick Class 3 and Class 4 | 36 |
| Figure 19 | West island. A juvenile red-tailed tropicbird on a nest | 37 |
| Figure 20 | West Island. A nest of the eastern reef egret in a bush of <i>Argusia argentea</i> | 38 |
| Figure 21 | Eastern reef egret. Percentage of nests with 1, 2, 3 and 5 eggs | 39 |
| Figure 22 | Eastern reef egret. Percentage of nests with 1, 2 and 3 chicks | 39 |
| Figure 23 | East Island. Location and extent of lesser frigatebird nesting colonies | 40 |
| Figure 24 | Middle Island. Location of nesting colonies of lesser frigatebirds, crested terns and red-footed boobies, November 2004 | 40 |
| Figure 25 | Common noddy distribution of total number of dead chicks in each sampling grid, Middle Island | 41 |
| Figure 26 | Common noddy. Percentage of dead chicks in each of four size classes, Middle Island | 41 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 27 Common noddy distribution of total number of dead chicks in each sampling grid, East Island | 42 |
| Figure 28 Common noddy distribution of total number of dead chicks in each sampling grid, East Island | 42 |
| Figure 29 Brown booby distribution of total number of dead chicks in each sampling grid, Middle Island | 43 |
| Figure 30 Pitfall trap in dead grass | 45 |
| Figure 31 Peanut paste bait station | 46 |
| Figure 32 Middle Island. Distribution of the five abundance classes of tropical fire ants recorded visually at bait stations in the centre of each grid cell. | 47 |
| Figure 33 Middle Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants across the sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set at night. | 47 |
| Figure 34 Middle Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants across the sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set during the day. | 48 |
| Figure 35 Middle Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ant nests found across the sample grid. | 48 |
| Figure 36 West Island. Distribution of the five classes of abundance of tropical fire ant activity visually recorded at bait stations in the centre of each grid cell. | 49 |
| Figure 37 West Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants across the sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set at night. | 50 |
| Figure 38 West Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants in each sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set during the day. | 50 |
| Figure 39 East Island. Distribution of the five classes of abundance of tropical fire ant activity visually recorded at each sample grid point. | 51 |
| Figure 40 East Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants in each sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set during the night. | 51 |
| Figure 41 East Island. Distribution and abundance of tropical fire ants in each sample grid as ascertained by pitfall traps set during the day. | 52 |
| Figure 42 Nonlinear regression between mid-point range of tropical fire ant counts and abundance rank at bait stations | 56 |
| Figure 43 Regression between the mean number of ants caught in pitfall traps at night and the visual abundance rank at bait stations | 56 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 44 Comparison of the mean density of total dead common noddy chicks, vegetation cover and tropical fire ant density between East and Middle islands | 57 |
| Figure 45 a & b Comparison of the (a) mean density of total dead common noddy chicks in each of the four age/size classes between East and Middle islands and (b) the percentage of dead chicks in each age/size class by island. | 58 |
| Figure 46a & 46b Partial regression plots between the density of all dead common noddy chicks with: (a) the density index of tropical fire ants and (b) percentage vegetation cover | 59 |
| Figure 47a & 47b Partial regression relationships between the total density of dead common noddy chicks in Classes 1 and 2 combined with: (a) the density index of tropical fire ants on Middle Island; and (b) vegetation cover on East Island | 61 |
| Figure 48 Proportion of deaths in each age/size class of common noddy chicks on East and Middle islands | 63 |

