

Response ID ANON-XVYX-N2BG-8

Submitted to **Independent review of the 'water trigger' legislation**

Submitted on **2016-01-29 11:34:07**

Upload submission

1 Upload your pre-prepared submission here

Upload your pre-prepared submission here:

No file was uploaded

2 Would you like to submit your uploaded file now or continue to the questionnaire?

No, I would like to continue to complete the questionnaire

TOR 1: Examine the appropriateness of the regulation including whether it is necessary and well targeted

3 Is there a significant likelihood of a substantial negative environmental impact from coal seam gas and large coal mining development on water resources in the absence of the water trigger legislation?

Yes

Please tell us why:

Because money has no conscience.

4 Is the scope and focus of the legislation appropriate to the problem being addressed?

Uncertain

Please tell us why:

I hope so

5 Are there significant gaps in the scope or intent of the legislation?

Uncertain

Please tell us why:

6 Please upload additional information relating to TOR 1 if you wish

File upload:

No file was uploaded

TOR 2: Effectiveness of the regulation in protecting water resources from the impacts of coal seam gas and large coal mining projects, including the role and scope of work given to the IESC

7 In your opinion has the water trigger legislation been effective in protecting water resources potentially and actually affected by relevant developments?

Not Answered

Please tell us why::

I haven't seen much improvement

8 Please upload additional information relating to TOR 2 if you wish

File upload:

No file was uploaded

TOR 3: Identify any opportunities to improve the effectiveness of the regulation

9 Are there gaps in the scope of the legislation that reduce its effectiveness in protecting water resource from relevant developments?

Not Answered

Please tell us why:

10 Are there opportunities to improve the clarity of the legislation? This could include responses concerning the definitions of actions which are covered by the legislation, and whether the approval conditions on those developments provide benefit in protecting water resources.

Uncertain

Please tell us what type/s of opportunities may improve the clarity of the legislation:

11 Are there improvements that could be made to the way in which advice is sought by the Australian Government from the Independent Expert Scientific Committee on Coal Seam Gas and Large Coal Mining Development (IESC) that could increase the efficiency of processes required as part of the water trigger?

Yes

Please tell us why:

They could try listening

12 Were stakeholders appropriately involved in the implementation of the water trigger legislation?

Uncertain

Please tell us why:

13 Please upload additional information relating to TOR 3 here if you wish

File upload:

No file was uploaded

TOR 4: Examine the efficiency of the regulation in protecting water resources from the impacts of coal seam gas and large coal mining projects

14 Have there been additional administrative compliance and delay costs arising from the water trigger that have affected business, community organisations and individuals?

No

Please tell us why:

The adverse effects occur through lack of protection for our sacred waters

15 Has the water trigger been beneficial in providing environmental outcomes, community confidence in the regulatory system of applying science to decision-making and management of environmental risk?

No

Please tell us why:

More needs to be done to bring awareness to the general public.

16 Has the water trigger delivered an overall benefit when regulatory costs are compared to the environmental and other benefits?

Not Answered

Tell us why:

17 Have there been additional administrative and other costs to governments arising from the implementation of the water trigger?

Uncertain

Tell us why:

Even if there have, money needs to be spent on protecting our waters!! Divert funds from war.

Upload file:

No file was uploaded

TOR 5: Identify any opportunities to reduce or simplify the regulation whilst maintaining its effectiveness

18 Are there any opportunities to reduce or simplify the regulation whilst maintaining its effectiveness?

Uncertain

Please tell us more:

Upload file:

No file was uploaded

TOR 6: Identify any recommended appropriate future review points of the regulation

19 Are there particular points in time, outside the statutory schedule for the review of the EPBC Act (reviewed every 10 years from commencement) that should be considered as review points for the water trigger?

Yes

Please tell us why:

Yearly until a difference is made.

Personal information

20 What is your name?

First name:

Last name:

21 I wish to make this submission anonymously

Yes

22 Contact details

Email address:

Mailing address:

Postcode:

■■■■■

23 What is your organisation (if applicable)?

Organisation:

Earthlings

24 What has been your involvement with the Water Trigger legislation?

I am a resident of a community not affected but I am interested in giving my views on the matter., I am interested because of my environmental concerns/I am responding on behalf of an environmental group.

Please describe:

25 How did you hear about the water trigger review?

Word of mouth

If other, how?:

26 Rate the following sources of information regarding the water trigger review.

rank - Department of the Environment website:

Useful

rank - Issues Paper:

Neutral

27 Which of the following sources of information do you find useful for information on coal seam gas and coal mining?

Rate sources of information - Media:

Neutral

Rate sources of information - Government:

Neutral

Rate sources of information - Scientific and research bodies such as CSIRO and universities:

Useful

Rate sources of information - Information from community groups and peak bodies (eg websites, newsletters):

Useful

Rate sources of information - Informal sources such as word of mouth:

Useful